# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

WILFREDO DE JESÚS-RIVERA & SONIA M. HERNÁNDEZ-MELÉNDEZ

CASE NO.: 00-00801(GAC)

1

Hearing on Damages

Old San Juan, Puerto Rico June 9, 2006

#### HEARING

HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE GERARDO A. CARLO UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE FEDERAL COURTHOUSE, OLD SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the Debtor: R. Pérez-Obregón, Esq.

For the Creditor:

N. Landrau-Rivera, Esq.

W. Segarra-Miranda, Esq.

For the Ch. 13 Trustee: J. Carrión-Morales, Esq.

Court Interpreter: Ms. Patricia Beckerleg

Court Recorder: Alba Iris Ramos

#### JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

#### PROCEEDINGS

(8:57 A.M.)

MONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The motions that you filed yesterday, do I have them?

It was filed after 5:00 p.m. in the afternoon. I barely had a chance to look them over before we came in, so why don't you tell me what... why you were filing this motion, so they and why this Court is considering them.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor, a supplemental pleading was filed by Plaintiffs in which they requested that the depreciation of GEC's collateral of that the equipment, be included. And the Motion was filed on the afternoon on Thursday.

This Court entered an order, which stated that it would consider those motions today. That is why yesterday we had hearings in the morning, and in the afternoon we could reach the office an prepare an opposition to the supplemental pleadings.

And in preparation for this hearing we came across certain information and new evidence which certainly affects the outcome of this hearing and the witnesses, or the allegations

#### JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

which are being discussed in this hearing this morning.

Just briefly, this complaint was filed by Plaintiffs on July 13<sup>th</sup>, 2004, and that date is important because with the Complaint Debtor or Plaintiffs made fourteen allegations...

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Excuse me,

Your Honor. Your Honor, if Counsel has doubts as to the veracity of the complaint, they can be dispelled during cross interrogation by my clients, who are present this morning.

Well, we were discussing the motions here, Counsel. I've asked Counsel to explain the motions that were filed last evening, and so I think she is right. Let's not interrupt each other. You'll have a chance to respond. This is just argument. Go ahead.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor, the situation is that a supplemental pleading was filed, and that was not included in that Complaint and...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Right.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: ...had to

review everything regarding the Complaint. And

that damages... because GE recognizes the order and the partial judgment, which was already entered by this court, that is recognized and we recognize that, and, of course, GE will abide by that.

However, we are here for a hearing on damages, so we looked at the Complaint, especially in light of the supplemental pleading, and the Complaint is made of fourteen allegations. The first ten are facts which area being alleged and have already been stipulated in the Summary Judgments that were presented before this Court.

Now, the damages are alleged specifically in paragraphs eleven, twelve and thirteen... thirteen and fourteen.

Number eleven and twelve have to do with the fact that... And I read textually from... literally from the allegation. It says that GE, General Electric Corporation's actions have caused and continue to cause damage to Debtors.

HONORABLE JUDGE

CARLO: Okay.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: In as much Cooperativa de Transporte de Carga through which co-petitioner Wilfredo De Jesús-Rivera works,

requires that its drivers operate vehicles no more than seven years old.

The other allegation states that since General Electric Corporation refuses to relinquish title to the vehicle, Debtors are economically precluded from acquiring an a newer model, since they can not use the 1997 Peterbuilt Truck Tractor for trading purposes.

And then 13 says "Defendants refusal to relinquish title of that said vehicle as well as its unlawful appropriation of Debtor's insurance check has caused damage to Plaintiffs and constitutes wilful violation and everything else that the Court has already ruled upon."

Now, Your Honor, we have verified, and of course, we have a duty to verify the veracity and the truthfulness of these allegations.

And that is why at 9:00 O'clock yesterday we entered into the DESCO Tech, which is the Department of Transportation and Public Works web site, which is public, and you can access that through the Social Security Number Debtor, which has been listed in the Petition, and we discovered that there are several vehicles in the name of

Debtor, or Plaintiff, Wilfredo De Jesús.

And that actually on July 2<sup>nd</sup>, which is scarcely ten days or eleven days before the filing of this Complaint he had purchased a 2005 Kenworth Motor Truck and had financed said vehicle through Cooperativa de Caguas.

Therefore, those are... that is a...
We were, of course, were uphold because this is a complete, not only misrepresentation, but it is false, that they were economically precluded.

And, of course, Your Honor, Plaintiff and Counsel have an obligation to set forth before this Court allegations which have... may have some kind of veracity, Your Honor, because they specifically allege that they could not get a new model because GE refused to relinquish title.

And, Your Honor, we filed a... immediately we filed a motion to strike regarding that. That is the first point, Your Honor.

Second, is the fact that Debtor in the pre-trial that he filed and in the exhibits that were... or the identifications that were provided to us this morning, he provided a curriculum vitae of psychiatrist. Of a psychiatrist.

1.5

Now, the situation is, Your Honor, that when we reviewed and we specifically reviewed the allegations made in that complaint, no emotional damages had been claimed in that complaint.

It is not even claimed in the supplemental pleading what... and I read again textually, and we have copy, if the Court wants to have it just handy, that specifically the words are "that has caused damages to Plaintiffs that constitute a willful violation".

No emotional damages have been claimed in this. We have the curriculum vitae, but wen do not have an expert witness report.

And we moved that if this Court is going, of course, to allow testimony as to damages, that testimony as to this professional, which we have no doubt that she is, will not be allowed to testify as to emotional damages because they are pled in the Complaint.

And moreover, Your Honor, we are also requesting the striking of allegations number eleven and twelve of the Complaint, which are damages and are completely false. They are not true, and at the moment of the filing of the

#### JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

Yes, Your

He is arguing.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: It is just as to the discovery that you...

MONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, you may have a chance. Let's not get into discussions here. That is your argument...

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:

Honor. In accordance to this Court's order, discovery was supposed to have included... You'll bear with me, Your Honor, it's a lot of documents. Docket number 39.

Docket number 37, Your Honor, order granting, discovery deadline was April 29<sup>th</sup>, 2005. During all that time, Your Honor, opposing Counsel has not requested any information from the psychiatrist, in spite of the fact that it was included in the pre-trial report as a witness in July of 2005.

Moreover, Your Honor, this hearing today was announced... was scheduled by this Court in February of 2006, over four months ago.

And during all that time opposing party has not requested any other documents, Your Honor, or any doubts as to the psychiatrist to be presented here today.

Your Honor, this is a pattern that the opposing party has in relation to this case. They ignored the Court's order as to the pretrials, they ignored the Court's order as to deadline.

Your Honor, this Court ordered that the title of the vehicle and certain monies be returned to the Debtors in February 2006, in spite of the fact that this party wrote opposing party a letter in April, in August... in April of this year, to comply with this Court's order. That order hasn't been complied with, Your Honor.

As to the vehicle my client has, he is prepared today to testify under oath the circumstances of that acquisition, Your Honor.

My clients have complied with this

Court's orders and they paid good money to the

Interpreter that was supposed to be here today,

and for the psychiatrist who is here this morning,

Your Honor.

If this case... If this hearing were to be cancelled, my clients wouldn't have the funds to pay them to come back another day.

And, Your Honor, the Interpreter has arrived.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.

Regarding your motion to file supplemental pleading, Counsel, what... can you explain why we should admit the supplemental pleading? It was Docket...

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, I will, Your Honor. Through procedure 15 b, applicable under federal rules of bankruptcy procedures, 70105, permits a party to serve a supplemental pleading setting forth transactions or occurrences that have transpired since the date of the initial complaint.

Obviously, Your Honor, on the date of the initial complaint, this allegation did not exist yet.

Now, it's been two years since the Complaint was filed, and what we wish to allege, Your Honor, is the natural of that truck in the last... in the past two years.

Obviously, that truck isn't worth today what it was worth two years ago. And that is basically the only allegation in relation in relation to that, Your Honor. How much was it worth then and how much is it worth now.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But why

Yes, the

does that require a supplemental pleading?

2

3

4

5

1

Why do you decide to file this as a supplemental pleading? Why can't that be included within the complaint that you filed originally? Isn't that also...

7

6

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Well, because

8

two years have transpired.

9

10

Obviously, it had not depreciated to the value that is it now, two years later, Your

11

Honor.

12 13

problem with the supplemental pleading is that it

14

calls for possibly additional discovery, and we would have to suspend the trial today to consider

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:

1516

that issue, if we weren't going to allow, I think,

So, as far as I am concerned, I am

17

the supplemental pleading.

going into discovery.

18

denying the motion for supplemental pleading. It

1920

was filed too late, there is no good reason to

21

admit at this stage of the proceedings without

22

We are not going to continue this

24

case. I believe the original complaint, because

this is a hearing on damages, and I don't think

25

1	you need a supplemental pleading to allege the
2	depreciation value or the damages that occurred
3	during that time.
4	Frankly, your complaint was broad
5	enough to include that sort of damages. So you
6	may bring evidence then, but the supplemental
7	pleading as such is not admitted.
8	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Very well,
9	Your Honor, we accept that.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
11	The motion to strike the allegations of the
12	Complaint and for sanctions under federal rules
13	based on the arguments that have been made today
14	are denied, or is denied. I believe that is
15	Docket 44.
16	The opposition to supplemental
17	pleading is granted as stated by this Court.
18	Okay?
19	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
20	Honor.
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: May I
23	address the Court?
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Wait

25

Yes, Counsel.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor, 1 for purposes of appeal we would like to request a 2 basis upon the denial of the motion to strike. 3 HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: 4 5 Basically, Counsel, the motion to strike is denied based on the arguments that have been made in open 6 court by Counsel. 7 Your motion to strike ane eliminate 8 9 allegations is based on the fact that discovery had to be conducted, is that correct? 10 MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Tf T No. 11 12 may, Your Honor? HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes. 13 MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Okay. 14 Your 15 Honor, we... I want to clarify something for the record, and this is very important. Your Honor, 16 GEC did, and I say "did" again, d-i-d, conduct 17 18 discovery in this case, and it was timely 19 performed. 20 General Electric notified timely an interrogatory and a request for production of 21 22 documents. In that interrogatory and request for 23 product of documents, we requested that Debtor provide, or Plaintiffs provide, all of... a 24

detailed account of the testimony which was going

25

to be presented before this Court today by each witness.

And that... And I... And it was filed with the motions, specifically the answer was that it would be provided before trial.

Now, Counsel for Plaintiff states that we did not perform any discovery, that is not true. Moreover, when this Court entered the judgement regarding the Motion to Dismiss and the Summary Judgement in February.

We notified a deposition and a subpoena for deposition upon Plaintiff. And he refused to go to said deposition. He filed a Motion for Dismiss, which we recognize that was granted by this Court.

However, Your Honor, GE requested the evidence as to the damages, GE requested the evidence which was going to be presented before this Court, and GE specifically requested before this hearing several meetings with brother Counsel to discuss this case, discuss the possibility of a transaction, and discuss any kind of evidence, or discovery, or information that had to be exchanged among counsels in order to come here.

Your Honor, I personally called

brother Counsel, and he specifically stated that that would have to be sought by the Court in its day and that the court hearing was already set for this date.

And, Your Honor, we had no other option than to file motions before this Court, and wait for this hearing.

That is why, Your Honor, when he says that we did not conduct discovery, Your Honor, that is not correct, we conducted discovery and it was part of our motions, and we even have evidence that we had provided that... which were going to be the witnesses today.

However, Your Honor, we do not have a little account of what it is that it is going to testify, and that was timely requested before this Court, Your Honor.

As to... And I will probably make this argument as to the reconsideration, Your Honor, this Court hears or... Atiende. Attends the pleadings which are pled in a complaint.

Your Honor, pleadings in a complaint have to be based on certain truthfulness or at least circumstances which lead to the truthfulness of pleadings, of allegations made in a complaint,

Your Honor.

And when these paragraphs are read literally, it is obvious that these allegations are totally false, Your Honor.

This infor... You can not say that you were not able to buy a truck because you were economically precluded, and they you went ten... when you know that ten days before filing this complaint you applied before Cooperativa de Caguas and you bought a new 205 truck. So you were not economically precluded by GE.

It is impossible. There is no way. GE has verified the UCB, which is the credit history, and there were two inquiries made as to this client, as to this plaintiff.

One for Doral for which he qualified and obtained a mortgage in December of the year he filed this complaint. And then also for Cooperativa to buy this truck.

It is not true that he was economically precluded, Your Honor. And it is not true that he could not buy a truck.

Even more, even in the remote instance that he operated two trucks, and maybe he just needed two trucks which were less than seven years

old.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, schedules filed in this case have two vehicles, the 1997 truck and a 1993 Crown Victoria.

Therefore, he did not have two trucks, Your Honor. He has been operating, he has not been economically precluded, and that is totally And that is why we are moving to strike false. these allegations, Your Honor.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well, the... Counsel, do you wish to respond? MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, there is...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I take that you are seeking reconsideration of the Court's denial of your motion to strike, is that what you are...

> MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, sir. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:

Okay.

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: There is such a thing called "latches", Your Honor. While it is true the interrogatory over a year ago, Counsel did request in writing a small compendium of what my clients were going to testify, and we told them that they would... she would be informed before

the trial. Time passed and we forgot, Your Honor, but Counsel did not remind us either, nor did she remind the Court.

On February 24<sup>th</sup> this Court notified the schedule of this hearing. Counsel did not say anything or did not require any... any of that testimony until two days ago, Your Honor. And that is *latches*, Your Honor.

As far as the deposition is concerned, that deposition was filed on April  $28^{\rm th}$ , over a year after the discovery had closed.

And that deposition was filed in response to a letter sent by this counsel to opposing counsel, whereby we requested that they comply with this Court's order of February 2006.

No response was made. The only response made was a week afterwards when the deposition was filed.

There is no justification for that deposition, Your Honor.

Your Honor, on this day, on this day, my clients are not going to testify about any economic hardships that they had because of GE's refusal to honor the discharge.

My clients are only going to testify about the mental anguish and the suffering that

1	they experienced because of GE Capital refusal to
2	honor the discharge, Your Honor.
3	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: I if I
4	may
5	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: As I stated,
6	Your Honor
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay, let
8	him finish. Le him finish.
9	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: And as I
10	stated, Your Honor, Dr. Carmen Sanz was listed as
11	a witness way back in July 2005, opposing party
12	did not was not interested in deposing her or
13	requiring any sort of testimony on her behalf.
14	And she is here today, Your Honor,
15	just as we promised that she would be way back in
16	July of 2005.
17	I think it is kind of late to request
18	that she be stricken from the list of witnesses.
19	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
20	Counsel, anything else?
21	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, I am
22	sorry. Your Honor, as to the what GE here is
23	requesting is not that Debtors not sit down and
24	testify as to the damages, because we are here for
25	that.

What we are requesting is the testimony be limited, Your Honor, to what is specifically alleged in the Complaint.

And what was alleged in the Complaint,
Your Honor, is false. And that is why we are
requesting that it be stricken, Your Honor.

That is what we are requesting, that evidence as to the fact that they were economically precluded and that they could not obtain a new truck because they needed to operate a truck that was less than seven years old, that is what we are requesting that be stricken...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay,

this is what...

1.5

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: ...and that...

what we are going to do, Counsel. I've heard your arguments and reconsideration. I am going to grant your reconsideration in part. We are going to allow the evidence to come in.

And after all the evidence is in you can make your arguments, and then we'll decide whether we are going to strike or whether we it's relevant or not, okay?

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: As to the
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We'll
give you a chance to cross examine because you are
making allegations here as facts, so that needs to
be really put in evidence.
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: As to the
psychiatrist, the issue is, Your Honor, that it
may have been announced, Your Honor.
It may A curriculum vitae could
have been sent, but the fact is that no emotional
damages have been claimed in the Complaint
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your
Honor,
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: That is
word.
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:if the
Court reads the Complaint, it is broad enough to
cover emotional damages, Your Honor.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I do
agree with Debtor that he can include emotional
damages, Counsel. And also the evidence that
comes in, you can ask for a you can ask as a
question of procedure to amend the pleadings in
any terms of the proceedings based on the evidence
that comes in to the record.

	_
1	So he can not put his client on the
2	stand and whatever and then ask that the
3	pleadings be amended to reflect what is said on
4	the record.
5	And I think that is procedure correct.
6	So we are going to allow the evidence of emotional
7	proceedings.
8	I think the Complaint is broad enough,
9	and after the evidence comes in you may renew your
10	Motion to Strike.
11	So your reconsideration is granted in
12	part, okay?
13	How many You say we still have
14	three witnesses
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Three
16	witnesses, Your Honor.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Who are
18	these witnesses?
19	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Mr. Wilfredo
20	De Jesús-Rivera, the Debtor
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
22	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:the co-
23	petitioner, Sonia Hernández
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Who?
25	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: The Debtor

	25
1	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Don
2	Wilfredo
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I need one
4	minute.
5	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
6	Honor
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:okay,
8	to call my chambers and we'll take a short minute
9	break before we start.
10	Vamos a empezar en unos minutos.
11	Pueden sentarse.
12	CLERK: Case 00801 Wilfredo De
13	Jesús-Rivera, Sonia Hernández, Adversary 04165.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Parties
15	ready?
16	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
17	Honor.
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: First
19	witness, Counsel.
20	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes. As the
21	first witness is Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús.
22	TRUSTEE: The Debtor in this case,
23	Your Honor.
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Don
25	Jesús. You may begin, Counsel

			27
1	Q	Please state your age.	
2	А	59 years old.	
3	Q	Where do you live?	
4	А	In Bayamón.	
5	Q	Please state your complete address.	
6	А	Road 830, Santa Olaya Ward, Bayamón,	
7	Puerto Rico.		
8	Q	How long have you lived there?	
9	А	Eighteen years.	
10	Q	Are you married?	
11	А	Yes, sir.	
12	Q	What is your wife's name?	
13	А	Sonia Hernández-Meléndez.	
14	Q	How long have you been married?	
15	А	Thirty years.	
16	Q	Do you currently live with your wife?	
17	А	Yes, sir.	
18	Q	Do you have children?	
19	А	Yes, sir.	
20	Q	How many?	
21	А	Three.	
22	Q	Please state their names and ages.	
23	А	Steve Romero, 34 years old; Wilfredo	
24	Omar De Jesús	s-Hernández, 24 years old; Denise De	
25	Jesús-Hernáno	dez, 21 years old.	

1	Q Hor	w many of your children currently
2	live with you?	
3	A One	e.
4	Q Is	your wife employed outside your
5	home?	
6	A No.	, sir.
7	Q Wit	tness, what is your occupation?
8	A I	am truck driver.
9	Q Hor	w long have you been working as a
10	truck driver?	
11	A Fo:	rty years.
12	Q Do	you own your own truck or do you
13	work as an emplo	yee?
14	A I	nave my own truck.
15	Q Oka	ay. With whom do you currently
16	work?	
17	A Wit	th the Truck Drivers Union,
18	Cooperativa de C	amioneros, Transporte.
19	Q Oka	ay. Can you briefly explain to the
20	Court the nature	of your relationship with
21	Cooperativa de I	ransporte de Camioneros?
22	MS	. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
23	we object to tha	t.
24	НОІ	NORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
25	MS	. LANDRAU-RIVERA: On the same

## JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

grounds which we have brought that this
constitutes an amendment to the pleadings, Your
Honor, that was requested specifically in
discovery, and the contract with Plaintiff and
Cooperativa de Camioneros was timely requested in
discovery and was not provided to Defendants.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Your are
requesting that everything related to Cooperativa
be stricken from the record?
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, sir.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Any
relation to them
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
may I post
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Go ahead.
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes. That
contract was sent to Counsel on May $10^{\rm th}$ , $2005$
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Do you
have a copy of this letter?
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, I do,
Your Honor.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It's a
letter of May $10^{\rm th}$ , 2005. Do you have a copy of
it?
MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: No, Your

1	Honor.
2	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: I signed, and
3	I personally sent it, Your Honor.
4	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
5	again, and this attorney is under a specific duty
6	to state the truth before this Court.
7	Esso Standard Oil Company and
8	Cooperativa de Transporte y Carga, that evidence
9	was not provided to Defendants.
10	We do admit of having received the
11	Hacienda Tax Returns for 2002 and 2004.
12	But these contracts have specifically
13	requested time and time again.
14	We even requested a Manual Proceeding
15	of Rules and Procedures, Reglas y Reglamentos,
16	that this Cooperativa Cooperativa de
17	Transporte y Cargo may have, due to the
18	allegations in the Complaint.
19	And it was also not provided to us,
20	Your Honor.
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Did you
22	remember seeing this letter?
23	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: I don't
24	recall seeing this letter
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You never

	31
1	received this letter?
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:what I do
3	recall is, specifically, and we have it here
4	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, he
5	is
6	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:was
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, he
8	is only testifying as
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
10	letter
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:to where he
12	works.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: One at a
14	time. One at a time. Let me
15	There is an objection to this line of
16	questioning and we want to hear it out. Yes.
17	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
18	we specifically, and we recall, that we
19	received
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Speak into
21	the microphone, Counsel.
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Oh, sorry.
23	It's that I am looking for the document in order
24	to provide
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You may

1	sit down and look for it.
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Thank you.
3	Your Honor, with the we received the answer to
4	the Interrogatory, we did receive that, and with
5	that we received this document, which has been
6	reviewed and once and time again by this attorney,
7	Your Honor.
8	And we do have Yes, we do have the
9	statements, we have the Declaración de Volumen de
10	Negocios from the Municipality of Bayamón, we have
11	that before us.
12	But we do not have a contract which
13	was timely requested and it has not been provided.
14	What we did we did receive this, and we
15	received it with the Answer to Interrogatories,
16	which we also do have. Yes, we do have that.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
18	What was the question again?
19	Why don't you
20	I want to hear the question that was
21	objected to.
22	Can you
23	Can we have this question repeated?
24	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: If I recall,

it was as to the...

25

1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, no,
2	let me hear it from the record. The last
3	question, which is being objected to.
4	Are we on the record? Okay.
5	(Playback)
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
7	question, as I understand it, is what is the
8	nature of his relationship, Debtor's relationship
9	with Cooperativa de Camioneros.
10	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: That is
11	correct, your Honor.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And you
13	said that was the question, right?
14	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: That is the
15	question.
16	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel
17	Noemí Landrau, you objected to that based on the
18	fact that you did not receive the contract from
19	the Cooperativa
20	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, Your
21	Honor
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:as part
23	of And that you requested it specifically,
24	right?
25	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, we did.

2.2

2.3

And we object that, Your Honor, because he has already stated that he is not an employee, that he owns his own truck and that he works for himself.

And that is why we object that because the best evidence was the... is the Contract in order to examine that his relationship with Cooperativa de Camioneros, and it was not timely provided, Your Honor.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, we are going to grant your objection in part.

The Cooperativa de Transporte y Carga, the Contract itself, we are going to take your word for it, even though there is a letter that says that you received it, which were going to keep here as an exhibit to these proceedings, the letter of May 10<sup>th</sup>, 2005, mark it as ID...

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: A copy of a letter, Your Honor.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: A copy of the letter, right. From Mr. Pérez-Oregón, and Mr. Pérez-Oregón has said that he sent that letter.

You say you have not received the letter although you received some parts o it. The first two, but you did not received the Contracts.

And we granting your objection
regarding the Contract itself.
However, the Witness may testify of
his relationship, he just may not testify
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: If I may
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:on the
Contract.
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: If I may,
Your Honor.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: And this
is And the Court can see this. Actually, you
can review it before
Your Honor, we are denying that he
that he sent it, and we are denying that we
received it, because we stand corrected. That
letter says that those are the Income Tax Returns
for 2002 and 2004.
We never received 2004, what we have
here is Income Tax for 2002, two copies actually,
and for 2000. And this is all the evidence that
we have.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, if
Counsel didn't agree with she received, she had

1	time enough to state so. That letter is of May
2	2005.
3	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: We never
4	received it.
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We are not
6	going to get Counsel, first you said that you
7	had received the Tax Returns, now you say you
8	received different years Tax Returns.
9	I don't think that is really
10	pertinent. We are granting your objection
11	regarding the Contract itself.
12	Witness may not testify as to the
13	Contract, but he can testify in general terms if
14	he works for Cooperativa de Transporte, as long as
15	he doesn't testify on the Contract. We believe
16	the Contract
17	We believe you the Contract was not
18	produced.
19	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Thank you,
20	Your Honor.
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We are
22	going to return these to you and we are going to
23	keep the letter of Pérez-Oregón, May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2005.
24	Mark it as ID for Debtor.
25	(Whereupon, the above-referenced

25

Your Honor.

1	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: He is
2	
3	asking  HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Let's
4	not I want to make one thing clear. One person
5	at the time, okay?
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
7	Honor.
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Let's
9	make this easy, because if not, we are going to be
10	here all weekend. There is an
11	objection to what, the question or the answer?
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
13	there is a
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And what
15	is the grounds? Just state the grounds, not
16	arguments.
17	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: The grounds
18	is, Your Honor, the same, that this the
19	evidence was requested and he is testifying as to
20	the Contracts, and the Contracts were not
21	produced.
22	And GE is being forced to come into a
23	litigation in a state of not knowing how to defend
24	itself, Your Honor, in order to defend this a
25	complaint

	53
1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
2	Don't argue, just give me a basic reason, okay?
3	The objection is denied based on the
4	same ruling we gave before.
5	This Witness is not testifying on the
6	Contract itself, but he is testifying that is an
7	owner and partner of Cooperativa, and that is
8	admissible.
9	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your
10	Honor,
11	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You may
12	continue.
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your
14	Honor
15	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: We If I
17	may? We also requested, and he said that he was
18	socio/dueño, and that, if I understand correctly,
19	is owner and socio
20	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Partner.
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Partner.
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Partner. We
23	specifically requested, Your Honor, as to
24	Cooperativa de Camioneros the Rules and
25	Regulations in order for us to assess his the

1	nature of his relationship with Cooperativa.
	And that was not received, Your Honor.
2	And that was not received, rour honor.
3	This line of questioning as to Cooperativa de
4	Camioneros, Your Honor, was it was requested
5	that it timely be produced, and it was not
6	produced.
7	And GE is being forced to come here
8	and cross examine a testimony for which it could
9	not prepare or adequately defend the
10	allegations
11	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
12	Counsel, I don't
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:in
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I don't
15	want this sort of argument in objections, just
16	state the reasons for the objection in a clear and
17	concise way, if not, we'll never finish here,
18	okay?
19	Grounds for the objection? We are not
20	arguing We are not This is not a final
21	argument on the case.
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
23	this is not the best evidence.
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Not the
25	best evidence. MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:

1	And that is what And that is why we argue.
2	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: That is
4	evidence. Counsel Now, just one person here
5	to conduct this. One person. Not the best
6	evidence
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Evidence.
9	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
10	he is only testifying as to his personal knowledge
11	of his relationship with La Cooperativa de
12	Transporte y Carga de Camioneros.
13	He is not testifying as to the details
14	of contracts, he is not testifying as to
15	liabilities or obligations of the contracts,
16	merely what he does with them.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I believe
18	that there is Counsel's right to objection is
19	denied. The Witness may answer.
20	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Okay. I will
21	repeat the question.
22	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
23	Q Can you briefly explain to the Court
24	the nature of your relationship with Cooperativa
25	de Transporte y Carga de Camioneros, please?

1	A I repeat it again, I am an owner and
2	partner of that company.
3	Q Now, how does that work?
4	A That is a company that renders service
5	by means of contracts to different companies.
6	If they need a person under one of
7	those contracts, Cooperativa de Camioneros will
8	assign that person to that area.
9	If that person is necessary in that
10	area, he will be utilized there. If he is not
11	necessary, they will otherwise another area will
12	be searched for that person to work at.
13	If you are in agreement with the work
14	that has been assigned to you, then you remain
15	there performing it.
16	Q Thank you, Witness. Witness, you own
17	a truck, don't you?
18	A Of two trucks.
19	Q What model and what years are your
20	trucks?
21	A Peterbuilt
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I am
23	sorry, I didn't hear that.
24	COURT INTERPRETER: Peterbuild.
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:

	43
1	Peterbuilt.
2	COURT INTERPRETER: I am waiting
3	for him to mention both and then
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
5	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
6	A A Peterbuilt 1997, and 2005 Kenworth.
7	Q When did you acquire the 2005
8	Kenworth?
9	COURT INTERPRETER: Kenwood or
10	Kenworth? ¿Cómo se escribe?
11	WITNESS: Kenworth.
12	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
13	Q When did you acquire the 2005
14	Kenworth?
15	A In June.
16	COURT INTERPRETER: Correction,
17	for the record and for the Court, it is Kenwood.
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
19	Kenworth.
20	COURT INTERPRETER: K-e-n-w-o-r-t-
21	h.
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
23	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
24	Q When did you visit this counsel's
25	office to explain about the lawsuit you were

		44
1	interested in filing against GE Capital?	
2	A In May.	
3	Q What down payment did you give for	
4	that Kenworth Truck that you have?	
5	A None.	
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Counsel,	
7	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:	
8	Q Witness, what model and year did you	
9	state the other truck was?	
10	A A 1997 Peterbuilt.	
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,	
12	may the record reflect that I have shown opposing	
13	counsel Plaintiff's Exhibit number one for	
14	identification.	
15	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Exhibit	
16	one.	
17	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,	
18	if I may. In order to save time, because this is	
19	an ID. If we can introduce this	
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You have	
21	no objection that Exhibit one be	
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: No.	
23	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:	
24	introduced You want to introduce it in	
25	evidence?	

	45
1	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
2	Honor.
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
4	Submitted as number one for Debtor.
5	(Whereupon, the obove-referenced
6	document was marked as Exhibit 1 for Debtor.)
7	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
8	Q Witness, can you tell the Court what
9	you have before you?
10	A This is the title to my truck.
11	Q What vehicle is stated on that Title
12	Certificate?
13	A 1997 Peterbuilt.
14	Q Does it state if the vehicle has a
15	lien?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Who does it state is the lien holder?
18	A GE Capital.
19	Q Was GE Capital informed, included, in
20	your bankruptcy case as a creditor?
21	A That is so.
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
23	if I may? In order again for to expedite the
24	proceedings, we recognize that all of those
25	facts have already been adjudicated by this Court

	46
1	and they were already brought before the attention
2	of the Court. We are
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Why do we
4	have to get into this
5	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:here
6	on
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:line
8	of the relationship between GE, if this has been
9	decided by the Court already?
10	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Very well,
11	Your Honor.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It seems
13	to be going into a confirmation hearing and you
14	are more than just a hearing on damages. I
15	thought we weren't talk about that.
16	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Very well,
17	Your Honor.
18	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
19	Q Witness, where is the truck now?
20	A At my home.
21	Q How many times have you used it since
22	the year 2004?
23	A Never.
24	Q Why not?
25	A Because it has a lien on it, and I can

25

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Veo

	48
1	que
2	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: And how that
3	affected him.
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: For what
5	purpose?
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: We Our
7	purpose is to show emotional and mental damage,
8	Your Honor.
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel.
10	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: And he may
11	stated what were the emotional damages, he can go
12	ahead and state them. That is what we are here
13	for.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But we
15	are going to allow the line of questioning. The
16	objection is denied.
17	But don't go too far into the facts of
18	the case. Whatever is necessary to introduce the
19	issue of damages and develop the issue of damages
20	is allowed.
21	But let's see how far we go on this.
22	Go ahead.
23	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
24	Q Witness, were you given any reason why
25	GE Capital refused to erase their lien?

	49
1	A They allege that I have a debt with
2	them.
3	Q How did that make you feel?
4	A I was angry at that moment. I felt
5	bad because I felt that I was harassed.
6	Q How many times did you go to the
7	office of GE Capital to have that lien erased?
8	A I went there on four occasions.
9	Q What other action did you take, if
10	any, to have GE Capital erase that lien?
11	A Well, I had to go to an attorney.
12	Q What evidence did you submit to GE
13	Capital to have them erase that lien?
14	A Well, they asked me for evidence, so I
15	went to the Cooperativa's offices and I looked up
16	the evidence. We have all the evidence over at
17	the office, the checks with which payment was
18	issued.
19	Q How many times did you go to the
20	office I am sorry. And each time you went,
21	how would you characterize the way you were made
22	to feel?
23	A Badly. They made me feel very badly
24	because they really didn't deal with me as they
25	should have.

1	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, we
2	have submitted as Exhibit two a copy of a check
3	from Royal Alliance in the amount of \$1,166.00
4	dollars. We have alleged in the Complaint that
5	they that GE Capital kept this check, post this
6	charge, and
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, no,
8	no, don't argue, Counsel. What do you want to do
9	with that piece of evidence?
10	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, I just
11	want to submit it into evidence.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Submit it
13	to evidence. Exhibit two. Any objection?
14	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: No, Your
15	Honor, that
16	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Check
17	from Royal
18	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: And that
19	check has already been returned to brother
20	counsel
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, no,
22	just any objection?
23	(No response)
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No.
25	Okay. Check is admitted as Exhibit two, it will

1	be Debtor's two.	
2	(Whereupon, the above-referenced	
3	document was marked as Exhibit 2 to the Debtor.)	
4	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:	
5	Q Witness, after you were told by GE	
6	Capital that the lien would not be erased, how did	
7	you feel about your bankruptcy case?	
8	A Well, I felt that it was not worth the	
9	trouble to file bankruptcy because I felt myself	
10	in a situation that was worse than the one before.	
11	I was like going backwards.	
12	Q Witness, changing the line of	
13	questioning a moment. You stated beforehand that	
14	you have been working as a truck driver for forty	
15	years.	
16	A That is so.	
17	Q How well would you say you know trucks	
18	and the market value of trucks in Puerto Rico?	
19	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,	
20	we object that.	
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?	
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: It is	
23	specifically what was denied by this Court in the	
24	supplemental pleadings as to the value of trucks.	
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,	

1	where are you heading with this type of These
2	are very general, speculative, question here,
3	Counsel. What the value of trucks in Puerto
4	Rico What is the story here?
5	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: I wish to
6	show the Court Witness' knowledge of trucks and
7	their values, Your Honor.
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: For what
9	purpose?
10	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: For the
11	purpose of him stating what the value of that
12	truck was in 2004.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel?
14	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
15	he is not an expert witness nor an appraiser, nor
16	is he a dealer, he is not announced also
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,
18	we the Bankruptcy Court generally held that
19	owners of properties or can testify, so we are
20	going to allow the answer. Let's not get too far
21	on this.
22	We are going to allow generally to see
23	if he knows in general the values, but we
24	understand he is not a valuation expert. But the
25	fact that he owns he's owned trucks over his

1	life, he may testify as to what he thinks the
2	value of The Court might give it whatever
3	weight is necessary, if it's relevant.
4	Let's see where it goes, okay?
5	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: In order to
6	save time, Your Honor,
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.
8	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:the
9	Contract specifically the Contract which was
10	included with the Proof of Claim and which is in
11	the Court's records, reflects how much it was
12	worth at the time of
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We know
14	that. We have seen the record, Counsel. But we
15	will see what the Witness has to say. He may
16	be he may know more than the contract, let's
17	see. Go ahead.
18	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
19	Q Witness, what is the
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Or less.
21	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
22	Q Witness, what was the value of that
23	truck in 2004?
24	A \$70,000.00 dollars.
25	Q And what did you hope to do with

that... the value of that truck?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A Well, I was hoping to give it in as trade-in at that moment. Part of that money was going to come from the trade-in, and the rest of the money was going to be coming from some money that I was going to be getting to make some repairs to my home.

Also some money was going to go towards my daughter's studies, and a down payment for a vehicle for my family to be able to transport itself, because we don't have a car right now.

- Q Were you able to do it?
- A No, sir.
  - Q Why not?

A Because I did not have the title for the truck, so I couldn't do anything with the truck.

Q How did the inability to fulfill your plans affect you?

A It destroyed me emotionally because I really wasn't able to do what I wanted to do.

Q How has it affected your relationship with your wife?

A Very much.

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: That it is 1 2 not part of the pleadings, and it is not what was 3 pled, Your Honor. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: 4 5 Alright... Emotional MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: 6 7 damages were not pled in the Complaint. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Alright. 8 We will take that into consideration, Counsel, 9 10 after we hear the evidence. We are not going to make a determination as to that right now. 11 Initially we believe that though the 12 13 Complaint alleged damages in pretty general terms, and also used the word "punitive damages", did not 14 used the word "emotional". I agree with you, but 15 16 did use "damages" in more than one place in the 17 Complaint. And also there is, under the rules of 18 evidence, it is allowed to amend the pleadings 19 20 according to the testimony of the plaintiff on the 21 stand. But we are not going to make a ruling 2.2 right now, we'll wait until de end of the trial, 2.3 okay? 24 MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Okay. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Go ahead. 25

		57
1		MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
2	Honor.	
3	BY MR. PÉREZ-	OBREGÓN:
4	Q	Witness, where is that truck right
5	now, the 1997	Peterbuilt with the GE Capital lien?
6	Where is it?	
7	А	In the yard of my home.
8	Q	Is it fair to say that you see it
9	every day?	
10	A	That is so.
11	Q	What do you feel when you see it?
12	А	Anger.
13	Q	Are you currently taking any
14	medication?	
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	What medication are you taking?
17	А	Zoloft, Transen, Cozar.
18	Q	Who prescribed it?
19	A	The Cozar and the Transen was
20	prescribed by	Dr. Sanz. And the Cozar was
21	prescribed by	Dr. Iván Ramírez, an internal
22	medicine spec	ialist.
23	Q	Witness, if you had a dollar amount on
24	the mental an	guish caused by GE Capital's refusal
25	to honor your	Chapter 13 discharge

bringing in Court.

25

1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
2	objection, the same It is the same objections
3	you had before, the same ruling applies.
4	We are going to allow the evidence to
5	make a determination after the conclusion of the
6	cross examination and the conclusion of the
7	evidence.
8	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your
9	Honor,
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.
11	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA:not to
12	be interrupting, the standing objection as to
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Anything
14	that has to do with emotional damages.
15	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Emotional
16	damages
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And the
18	Court is going to allow the Witness to finish his
19	testimony, is going to allow the cross
20	examination, and we'll make a determination as the
21	emotional damages, whether it should or should not
22	be stricken from the record at that conclusion of
23	the hearing.
24	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Yes, and
25	also the questions with dollar amount, is that the

	60
1	Witness is not being announced as an expert in any
2	field.
3	We also have that objection, as to any
4	testimony as to dollar amounts because he is not
5	an expert.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
7	CLERK: Your name for the record?
8	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Wilfredo
9	Segarra, for GE.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
11	Witness may answer the question. The objections
12	have been addressed.
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
14	we object also. That is speculative and very
15	ambiguous.
16	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We
17	believe the Court has the discretion to examine
18	the evidence as presented, even though it is
19	speculative.
20	We'll give it as close to the weight
21	of the matter, rather than the admissibility, so
22	the admission is denied. You may answer.
23	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
24	A There is no money that will pay for my
25	health or that of my wife's or of my children.

1	Q Thank you. Finally, Witness, if you
2	had to trade in, or if you had to sell your 1997
3	Peterbuilt Truck at this very moment, how much
4	money would you say you would receive for it?
5	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
6	objection. Stands as to the fact that he is not
7	an expert witness.
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Objection
9	is noted. We have said that he may testify as an
10	owner of a property, so he may testify as to the
11	value, so you may answer.
12	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
13	A At the most forty-five thousand
14	(\$45,000.00) dollars.
15	Q Thank you.
16	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: No more
17	questions, Your Honor.
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No more
19	questions. The cross examination.
20	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Yes. Yes,
21	Your Honor.
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, Your
23	Honor.
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Landrau.
25	Whereupon,

the date that it was filed?

25

0
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
he testified as to values, and he testified as to
damages and how was his state of mind before, and
that is what we are trying establish.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
What else?
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: His state
of mind before the petition
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You may
question him, but I just wanted
MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:and time
is important as to that.
HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:to
know what what the reason was. Go ahead.
BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
Q Do you recall what vehicles did you
have at the time of the filing of the Petition?
A Yes.
Q Please state them.
A I had a Grand Marquis, a 1993 Grand
Marquis, and a 1988 Nissan.
Q Any other vehicles?
A No.
Q There were no other vehicles
registered in your name at the time of the filing

		64
1	of the Petition?	
2	A There w	as another vehicle that was in
3	my name, that was my	son's, but that did not
4	belong to me, that b	elonged to my son.
5	Q What ve	hicle was that?
6	A A 1998	Honda Prelude.
7	Q Can you	repeat that? I am sorry, I am
8	having trouble liste	ning.
9	A A 1998	Honda Prelude.
10	Q 1998?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q At the	time of the filing of the
13	Petition, was the 19	97 Peterbuilt in your name?
14	A Of cour	se.
15	Q So if I	would go to the Department of
16	Transportation and 1	ook up what is or who is
17	the owner of that 19	97 Peterbuilt, your name will
18	come up?	
19	A Well, o	of course.
20	MS. LAN	IDRAU-RIVERA: Now, I would
21	like to bring to the	attention Schedule "A", it
22	was filed with the P	etition. Schedule "B", I am
23	sorry. Schedule "B"	, which lists the personal
24	property of Debtor.	
25	MR. PÉF	REZ-OBREGÓN: I am sorry,

1	Your Honor, what schedule is that?
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: "B". "B",
3	like in boy.
4	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
5	I would like know what this questioning has to do
6	with the direct interrogation, the Direct
7	Examination given to the Debtor?
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel,
9	you wish to respond? There is an objection
10	apparently to this line of questioning on the
11	vehicle
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Brought for
13	the purposes of rebutle, Your Honor.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I am
15	sorry?
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Brought for
17	the purposes of rebutle and to attack the
18	credibility of the Witness, Your Honor. This is a
19	cross examination.
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You may
21	continue, Counsel. We believe that this is
22	relevant and material. Plus the fact that on the
23	Direct Examination the Witness testified that
24	he about the vehicles that he lacked, owned, or
25	did not own. Go ahead.

		01
1	BY MS. LANDRA	AU-RIVERA:
2	Q	Mr. De Jesús, we are going to show you
3	a document.	Do you recognize that document, Mr.
4	De Jesús?	
5	А	It lists two properties which are
6	mine.	
7	Q	Can you state for the record what are
8	those propert	ties which you state to be yours?
9	А	A 1997 Peterbuilt and a 1993 Mercury
10	Grand Marquis	5.
11	Q	The 1988 Nissan, does it appear on
12	that Schedule	e?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Was an Honda Prelude 1998, does it
15	appear in tha	at Schedule?
16	А	No, because that was not my car, it
17	was my son's	•
18	Q	And at the time, was your son
19	Which one of	your sons was the owner that you
20	state or the	one that used the Honda Prelude 1998?
21	А	The eldest one.
22	Q	Which at the time of filing bankruptcy
23	was how old?	
24	А	Twenty-two, twenty-one or twenty-two
25	years old.	

		67
1	Q	You stated that he now is thirty-four
2	years old?	
3	А	That is so.
4	Q	So if this was six years ago, could he
5	have been twe	nty-eight?
6	А	No.
7	Q	No? And it is not possible that he
8	was twenty-seven?	
9	А	No.
10	Q	There is no way that he could have
11	been twenty-seven or twenty-eight when you filed	
12	for bankruptcy?	
13	А	No, I filed in 2001.
14	Q	Okay. And you do state that he is
15	thirty-four n	ow?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Now, Mr. De Jesús, did you have an
18	opportunity to review the Complaint that filed	
19	against General Electric with your attorney?	
20	А	I don't remember.
21	Q	You never discussed the contents of
22	the Complaint	with your attorney?
23	А	Well, yes.
24	Q	Did you have an opportunity to review
25	it before it	was filed?

		· ·
1	А	Well, I was in his office.
2	Q	The question was if you had the
3	opportunity t	o review the Complaint before it was
4	filed?	
5	А	Well, yes, because I was present when
6	he was tellin	g me about the Complaint.
7	Q	So you did review the Complaint before
8	it was filed?	
9	А	Well, he gave it to me so that I would
10	read it.	
11	Q	And you state And you sustain
12	yourself that	everything that is said in the
13	Complaint was	truth?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And in that Complaint you state that
16	you were not	able economically to acquire a newer
17	model, a newe	r model from the 1997 Peterbuilt
18	Truck.	A Well,
19	Q	Yes or no?
20	А	Well, yes.
21	Q	And the fact is that you did testify
22	that in June	2004 you did buy a new 2005 Kenworth
23	Truck, yes or	no?
24	А	That is so.
25	Q	And you were qualified financially by

1	Cooperativa de Caguas to buy that truck?
2	A Yes, but I paid
3	Q Yes or no?
4	A Yes, but I paid interests.
5	Q Are you up to date on those payments
6	to the Cooperativa de Caguas?
7	A That is so because that is being paid
8	from the payroll.
9	Q And you are using that truck for your
10	work with Cooperativa de Camioneros?
11	A That is so.
12	Q And that truck you have been using
13	since you bought to work with Cooperativa de
14	Camioneros?
15	A Are you talking about the Kenworth
16	one?
17	Q Yes, yes.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And, Mr. De Jesús, during the pendency
20	of the bankruptcy, were you also working with
21	Cooperativa de Camioneros?
22	COURT INTERPRETER: Excuse me, could
23	you repeat?
24	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
25	Q During the pendency of the bankruptcy

1	proceedings, the normal bankruptcy proceedings,
2	were you also working with Cooperativa de
3	Camioneros?
4	A That is so.
5	Q What truck did you use during the
6	pendency of the proceedings?
7	A The Peterbuilt.
8	Q So you have always continued to work
9	for Cooperativa de Camioneros, is that correct?
10	A Well, that truck had an accident and I
11	was unemployed during three months.
12	Q But you have always had worked with
13	Cooperativa de Camioneros, yes or no?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Mr. De Jesús, to your counsel's
16	questions you stated that you wanted to I
17	withdraw that question.
18	Now, you stated to questions from
19	brother counsel that the 1997 Peterbuilt that you
20	have it in your home, is that correct?
21	A That is so.
22	Q And that you stated that you could not
23	use it because it has a lien.
24	A Yes.
25	Q Now, at the moment of the filing for

		71
1	bankruptcy in	2000 or 2001, as you say, did that
2	truck have a	lien?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And did you have all of the permits to
5	operate it?	
6	А	Of course.
7	Q	And you continued to operate it?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And did the fact that it had a lien
10	towards GE af	fect you in any way?
11	А	No.
12	Q	And you could have obtained your
13	permit with t	he Public Service Commission?
14	А	At that moment I could.
15	Q	So the vehicle Even The
16	vehicle after	you received the discharge still
17	appears in yo	our name, is that correct?
18	А	Are you talking about the license for
19	the truck?	
20	Q	Yes, yes.
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	And it still could be operated?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Now
25		HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel,

1	are you talking about what specific time
2	and what specific truck? Because these
3	questions and answers are
4	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Okay.
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
6	confusing.
7	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: We are
8	talking about
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: So we are
10	talking of different vehicles and different times,
11	is it after the bankruptcy, part of the
12	bankruptcy, if not, we are totally lost here.
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Okay.
14	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
15	Q Mr. De Jesús, the 1997 Peterbuilt,
16	after the bankruptcy Do you understand what I
17	am saying?
18	A Okay.
19	Q No, after the discharge. Luego del
20	descargo.
21	A Can you ask the question again,
22	please?
23	Q Now, in 2004 and 2005, was this truck,
24	1997 Peterbuilt, in your name?
25	A Yes, that is so.

	74
1	What is
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: He is deaf
3	from the other
4	ear.
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: What
6	was the instruction you were giving? To what
7	COURT INTERPRETER: No, no, I
8	was not giving him an instruction, I was telling
9	you that she was talking about the post bankruptcy
10	thing because when he looks at me He told me
11	that if he doesn't understand, he'll look at me
12	and he can not hear from this ear. He can only
13	hear from the other ear.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Oh.
15	COURT INTERPRETER: So that is
16	why I am whispering to him. It is not that I am
17	trying to get close to him or anything
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
19	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your
20	Honor
21	COURT INTERPRETER: He is deaf
22	from this ear.
23	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Wilfredo
24	Segarra, for GE Capital. In order to preserve the
25	proceedings, Your Honor, we request that the

1	Translator only translate, and not make any other
2	comments or speak to the Witness.
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
4	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: She is
5	only there to translate, Your Honor.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well, I
7	think the I agree with the comment made with
8	the Translator, we understand. If you have any
9	problem or the Witness has any problem, and please
10	translate this to him, understanding that he
11	should address the Court and that a question to be
12	repeated again
13	COURT INTERPRETER: Okay.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But
15	let's not Let's do everything for the record.
16	Can you tell him that?
1 7	
17	For the record again, can you put now
18	For the record again, can you put now in English now what you told him?
18	in English now what you told him?
18 19	in English now what you told him?  COURT INTERPRETER: Oh, I am
18 19 20	in English now what you told him?  COURT INTERPRETER: Oh, I am  sorry, okay. For the record, the Judge has
18 19 20 21	in English now what you told him?  COURT INTERPRETER: Oh, I am  sorry, okay. For the record, the Judge has  requested that when you don't understand something
18 19 20 21 22	in English now what you told him?  COURT INTERPRETER: Oh, I am  sorry, okay. For the record, the Judge has  requested that when you don't understand something  or you haven't heard well, instead of looking at

I believe that the... If that... asked the

25

1	questions if judgement is granted. It is
2	speculative whether to ask this witness what
3	the other party would have done to buy the
4	vehicle. Can you rephrase that?
5	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
6	Q In your opinion, did this person
7	really want to buy the vehicle?
8	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Objection
9	again, Your Honor, it is the same question.
10	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor.
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: He doesn't
12	know what that other party may have wanted.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We are
14	going to allow the question and the answer. If
15	the Witness knows in his opinion whether the other
16	party had an interest in buying or not, I think
17	that is admissible. I am going to order the
18	Witness to answer.
19	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
19 20	
	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
20	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:  A Yes.
20 21	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:  A Yes.  Q Did he go to ta bank?
20 21 22	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:  A Yes.  Q Did he go to ta bank?  MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Objection,

	78
1	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: He doesn't
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
3	specifically if he wanted to sell the truck.
4	He is saying that he wanted to
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We are
6	going to allow the question. Let's see if the
7	answer has if he has personal knowledge of the
8	other party's action, it may be admissible. Let's
9	see what he answers.
10	That was not really the question
11	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: No.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
13	question was
14	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: No, that was
15	not
16	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
17	whether
18	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: He went to a
19	bank.
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:he
21	know if he went to a bank, the other party.
22	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
23	A I don't know.
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: He
25	doesn't know. Okay.

1	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
2	Q Did he put that offering in writing?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you set a specific date in which
5	the transfer was going to be made?
6	A No, because
7	Q Yes or no?
8	A No.
9	Q And at the time that you would have
10	sold this vehicle, how much was owed, if anything?
11	A The vehicle did not owe anything at
12	that moment.
13	Q The vehicle did not owe anything at
14	that moment?
15	A No.
16	Q Did you go to General Electric with
17	this gentleman to notify that the vehicle was
18	going to be transferred?
19	A No, that is why I went the first time
20	to GE Capital to look for this document and they
21	denied me this document.
22	Q That is true. And what was the
23	reason that you stated for the denial?
24	A Supposedly they said that I owed some
25	money to GE Capital, which was not true.

1	O De ven know how much? Did they even
1	Q Do you know how much? Did they ever
2	tell you?
3	A They said that I owed them some money,
4	that the check that I had taken to them that it
5	had to be credited to that account.
6	Q Did you ask how much money was owed?
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
8	for the record, on page five of Counsel's Motion
9	for Summary Judgement, paragraph eighteen says
10	that "pursuant to the terms of the Contract at the
11	moment
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But what
13	is your objection to the question, Counsel? Do
14	you have an objection?
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: My objection
16	is Counsel has already stated for the record the
17	amount that GE Capital says that it was owed to
18	it.
19	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
20	if that is
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
22	objection is denied. You may continue. Let's
23	continue. This is cross examination here. He may
24	answer.
25	The fact that there is evidence on the

		0
1	record about t	he debt is not a reason to deny this
2	question on cr	coss examination, so the Witness may
3	answer.	
4		Do you remember the question?
5	1	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Okay. I'll
6	go ahead and	•
7		HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Can you
8	repeat it	
9	1	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:recall
10	the question.	
11	BY MS. LANDRAU	J-RIVERA:
12	Q	Did you ask GE how much was owed?
13	A	I don't remember.
14	Q	And you were never curious to know how
15	much was owed?	
16	A	Well, around some three thousand eight
17	hundred dollar	s, something like that.
18	Q	And did they tell you why was that
19	owed?	
20	A	No.
21	Q	And did you ask?
22	A	I asked them, but they would not tell
23	me.	
24	Q	And despite that this Despite the
25	fact that the	debt was three thousand eight

1	hundred you could still not sell the equipment?
	hundred, you could still not sell the equipment?
2	A No.
3	Q And despite the fact that it was in
4	your name?
5	A Yes, because its lien on it.
6	Q And the lien could be cancelled with
7	three thousand eight hundred dollars?
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It could
9	be cancelled. The translation is "se podía
10	cancelar".
11	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you were told that at GE? That
14	you could cancel the lien with three thousand
15	eight hundred dollars.
16	A They told me that I had to pay the
17	three thousand eight hundred dollars for them to
18	be able to give me that document.
19	Q And at that time, with this gentleman
20	that you stated was going to buy that vehicle from
21	you, that 1997 Peterbuilt, did you discuss any
22	price for the sale?
23	A Well, yes.
24	Q How much?
25	A Seventy thousand dollars.

	83
1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel,
2	how much more do you have here?
3	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: At this time
4	we have no further questions.
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No
6	further questions, okay. Any re-direct
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: We would like
8	to
9	Whereupon,
10	RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
12	Q Mr. De Jesús, how much are you
13	currently paying for your Kenworth Truck?
14	A At this moment?
15	Q Yes.
16	A One thousand, seven hundred and
17	eighty-four dollars.
18	Q How much did you give for down
19	payment?
20	A Nothing.
21	Q Is it fair to say Mr. De Jesús, had
22	you used your 1997 Peterbuilt Truck as of total or
23	partial
24	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Objection,
25	leading,

	84
1	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
2	Qdown payment
3	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:Your
4	Honor
5	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
6	Qwould you be paying less?
7	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Leading.
8	Totally leading.
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,
10	Counsel, that is a leading question. Can you
11	rephrase that?
12	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes.
13	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
14	Q How much you think you would be paying
15	if you had used the 1997 Peterbuilt Truck as a
16	down payment?
17	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Again,
18	leading, Your Honor.
19	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: How much does
20	he think he would be paying, Your Honor?
21	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Leading and
22	it is totally speculatory. That is total
23	speculatory. That is subject
24	COURT INTERPRETER: Speculative.
25	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:to total

1	speculation.
2	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It is
3	still a leading question. It's suggesting that
4	something would have been paid, Counsel. Can you
5	rephrase that question?
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes.
7	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
8	Q Mr. De Jesús, what was the value, what
9	was the price of that Kenworth Truck at the moment
10	you acquired it?
11	A One and twenty thousand dollars.
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
13	we object again to that question.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
15	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: We do not
16	have the contract as to that 2005 truck, we It
17	is totally speculatory, what it is that happened
18	there
19	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
20	she opened the door, and upon asking him about his
21	dealings with Cooperativa de Caguas.
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I don't
23	see in my in my notes, Counsel. Where is
24	this talking about this Kenworth, where was
25	that mentioned?

1	We are talking about the latest
2	vehicle that he purchased?
3	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
4	Honor.
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And where
6	was that during the Cross Examination. I don't
7	think that that was actually an item, the price of
8	that item was not actually brought up, of the
9	Kenworth vehicle, Counsel. So we are going to
10	deny the question.
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Very well,
12	Your Honor.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
14	objection is granted, okay? Anything else?
15	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
16	Q Why couldn't you sell your truck, your
17	1997 Peterbuilt Truck? Why couldn't you sell it?
18	A Because of this reason.
19	(Witness points to Certificate of Title.)
20	Q But why did you
21	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I am
22	sorry, I could not hear the Translator. What was
23	that?
24	COURT INTERPRETER: Oh, "because
25	of this reason" and then he points to this

1	document, which is the Certificate of Title.
2	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Can you
3	name the exhibit there?
4	COURT INTERPRETER: Yes, Your
5	Honor, Exhibit one, Plaintiff's Exhibit one.
6	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
7	Q But didn't you just pay the three
8	thousand, eight hundred dollars?
9	A Because I thought that was unfair.
10	Q Thank you.
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: No further
12	questions, Your Honor.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
14	You have Who is your next witness?
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: We have Mrs.
16	Sonia Hernández-Meléndez, Your Honor.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Sonia
18	Hernández. This witness may step Mr. Wilfredo
19	De Jesús, you may step down. Watch you step here
20	on the as you get down.
21	And we will call the next witness,
22	Sonia Hernández-Meléndez.
23	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: If I may,
24	Your Honor.
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Go

ahead. 1 MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: In order to 2 save time and... Your Honor, she may identify 3 herself as, of course, and who she alleges to be, 4 but we would request that questions be directed 5 6 specifically as to the damages, and we would have 7 the same standing objections as we did previously 8 as to the value and as to the fact that they were 9 economically precluded and as to emotional damages. We would request a standing objection. 10 HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: 11 Okay. Very well. Counsel. Take your seat, the witness, 12 13 and state your full name for the record. My name is Sonia WITNESS: 14 Hernández Meléndez. 15 16 Whereupon, SONIA HERNÁNDEZ-MELÉNDEZ 17 18 was called as a witness and having been first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: 21 2.2 Are you married to Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús Rivera? 2.3

# A Yes, sir.

2.4

25

Q How long have you been married?

#### JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

1	A Thirty years.
2	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
3	if I may? Again, in order to save time, we can
4	stipulate the fact that she is his wife and that
5	they have three children together, and
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: I haven't
7	asked those questions yet
8	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:that they
9	live where they live
10	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:Your
11	Honor.
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: I think you
13	are getting ahead of the game here, Counsel.
14	Let's see
15	The stipulation is not accepted, I
16	take it, so if we can Let's continue. Go
17	ahead.
18	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes.
19	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
20	Q Mrs. Hernández, what sort of husband
21	and father would you classify Mr. Wilfred De
22	Jesús?
23	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
24	objection, speculatory, irrelevant
25	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: They've been

1	married for thirty years, Your Honor.
2	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We are going
3	to allow the
4	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor, this
5	is irrelevant.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
7	irrelevancy of the question that the Court may
8	determine, we'll give it whatever weight it is,
9	but we are going to allow the line of questioning.
10	You may continue.
11	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
12	Q What sort of husband and father would
13	you classify Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús?
14	A Up to this moment, because he used to
15	be a good man before
16	Q In general. In general.
17	A Yes, in general, he is a good man, a
18	very good person.
19	Q What personality changes, if any, have
20	you noticed in your husband within the last two
21	and a half years?
22	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
23	we object again. And we request First of all,
24	she is not a psychiatrist. Second, this is her
25	opinion.

1	We request that if questions are being
2	made as to her opinion of her husband, Your Honor,
3	that it be specific as to what conduct she
4	because it is totally <i>speculatory</i> what it's being
5	said here.
6	And he and Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús
7	already testified as to the way that he felt. And
8	the way that he felt. She may testify, we
9	understand, as to her damages, Your Honor, but not
10	as to the conduct of a witness that has already
11	stood at trial stood as a witness.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, we
13	
14	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: But
15	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Let's
16	hear about the damages. I agree with Counsel
	near about the damages, I agree with counser
17	that
17 18	
	that
18	that MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, I
18 19	that  MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, I  wanted to show
18 19 20	that  MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, I  wanted to show  HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I want to
18 19 20 21	that  MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, I  wanted to show  HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I want to  know what personal knowledge she has of damages to
18 19 20 21 22	that  MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor, I  wanted to show  HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I want to  know what personal knowledge she has of damages to  herself, and

1	she can testify, then she can testify, not
2	speculate.
3	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: She is not
4	speculating, she
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:she knows
7	this witness better than anyone else, so she can
8	testify as to personality changes he's had within
9	the last two and a half years.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: If she
11	has personal knowledge
12	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: That is
13	correct.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:if
15	she Let's be specific, as specific as we can.
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your
17	Honor
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And no
19	leading questions. Let's just try to make this as
20	fast as possible. You may continue.
21	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
22	Q Yes. What personality changes, if
23	any, have you observed in your husband within the
24	last two and a half years?
25	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,

1	again, hearsay, the Witness has already being in
2	the stand and he is requesting
3	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
4	whatever
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, no,
6	one at a time.
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:it is not
8	hearsay.
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Let's
10	come come forward, both of you. Come to the
11	bank. Off the record.
12	(Off the record)
13	(Off the record)
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel.
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes.
16	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
17	Q Again, Witness, I asked you, what
18	personality changes, if any, have you observed
19	from your husband within the last two and a half
20	years?
21	A Many. He has changed a lot, because
22	sometimes when I go when we go to bed and I
23	turn over to hug him, and he is not in bed, he is
24	not in bed. And I look for him everywhere and I
25	find him in the living room in the dark, crying.

And I ask him why, what is he doing 1 2 there... MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Objection, 3 4 hearsay. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: 5 Counsel, 6 the question is... he is asking... She is... 7 First of all, this is not... it's an answer that 8 you are objecting to. The party has not finished 9 the answer. And we don't believe it is hearsay. 10 Your objection is denied. You may answer. BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: 11 And I ask him what is he doing there 12 in the dark alone, and he tells me that the 13 worries don't allow him to sleep. So he just 14 15 gets up and goes there to sit and think about it, that he can not fall asleep. 16 How have these changes affected your 17 relationship with him? 18 In everything. Α 19 Q Could you please be more specific for 20 21 the Court? 2.2 We can't go out, he has no desire to Α go out, we can not be together. We have to remain 2.3 at home all the time because he has no desire 24 25 whatsoever to go out. He is very depressed and

1	just wants to stay home.
2	Q And how have these attitudes affected
3	his relationship with your sons and daughters?
4	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: There is an
5	objection.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
7	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Hearsay and
8	speculatory, sons and daughters are not the
9	witness.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
11	Speculation and hearsay,
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: She can
13	state as
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:we
15	believe that this is not hearsay, she can testify
16	if she has personal knowledge of any reflection on
17	the relationships. This is evidence on damages.
18	She may testify on how it has affected her family
19	life, her husband and her children, if she has
20	personal knowledge of it.
21	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
22	Q Do you have personal knowledge of how
23	these changes and attitudes have affected your
24	sons the relations with your sons and
25	daughters, and if you do, could you please explain

it to the Court?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A Well, yes, my children are afraid to be with us. They don't want to go out with us, they don't want to talk to him because they are afraid of him.

Q What plans, if any, did you and your husband have in relation to the sale of that vehicle back in the year 2004?

A My daughter always wanted to be a chef, and then that was not able to be carried out...

Q Why wasn't she able to be a chef... study to be chef?

A Because since we could not...

MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor, objection again, hearsay. The daughter is not here to testify this, and the Witness was not questioned as to this.

And if he was going to pay for something, he could have been... he could have stated it for the record.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel?

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,

she has been the spouse for thirty years. And she
is the mother of these children. No one is in a

1	better position to understand what is going on in
2	that family right now than the mother and the
3	spouse.
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,
5	we
6	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: It is not
7	hearsay.
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel,
9	the The children are not included in this
10	Complaint. They are not parties to this
11	Complaint, right?
12	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: No.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Alright.
14	You had a chance to include them if you wanted to
15	include them.
16	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: But it's a
17	family affair. She is stating that the
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, I
19	think she is going a little bit too far stating
20	what their child wanted to study. The child
21	should have been brought in if you wished to have
22	the child testify. And I think that we are going
23	a little bit too far here.
24	Let's stick to what her how this
25	affected her and her husband, which she has more

1	knowledge of.
2	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
3	Q What else did plan to do with that
4	money?
5	A Well, do some repairs to the home a
6	small car.
7	Q Were those plans accomplished?
8	A No, we haven't accomplished them yet.
9	Q How did you feel about bankruptcy when
10	those plans were not able to have been
11	accomplished? How did you feel about your
12	bankruptcy?
13	A Well, that it wasn't worth the
14	trouble.
15	Q What was not worth the trouble?
16	A Well, that it was not worth the
17	trouble to file bankruptcy because instead of
18	moving forward, then everything went backwards.
19	Q Are you and your husband currently
20	receiving professional help?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What do you feel when you see that
23	truck parked in front of your yard every day?
24	A I feel like I feel just like I
25	felt on September 11 <sup>th</sup> . I feel like a great

1	sadness and a great restlessness, and very sad.
2	Q If you had to put a dollar amount on
3	your pain and your suffering due to GE Capital's
4	refusal to honor the Chapter 13 discharge, what
5	amount would you put?
6	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
7	again, we object on the same basis that we did
8	previously. This is totally speculative and she
9	is not an expert witness as to that.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We
11	understand she is not an expert witness, but we
12	are going to allow this, this line of questioning
13	like we have before. We'll give it whatever
14	weight we think it deserves. The Witness can
15	answer.
16	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
17	A None, no price whatsoever because if
18	you don't have health and you don't have
19	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: How much
20	do you have left, Counsel here?
21	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
22	Aor happiness,
23	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: I am
24	finished, Your Honor.
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You are

	100
1	finished?
2	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes.
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
4	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
5	Ayou can not have anything, you can
6	not live.
7	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Thank you.
8	No further questions, Your Honor.
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Cross
10	examination?
11	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: A very
12	short one, Your Honor.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Go ahead.
14	Whereupon,
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
17	Q Mrs. Hernández, good morning.
18	A Good morning.
19	Q All that you have stated regarding
20	your husband, has this occurred after the
21	Discharge?
22	A After they denied him the permit and
23	everything, after he went to GE Capital.
24	Q He was a good husband before?
25	A Well, of course, a perfect one.

	102
1	Q Did you file bankruptcy?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And was that done in 2001?
4	A I don't remember. I don't recall the
5	date exactly.
6	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Just Let
7	the record show that they filed on December 2000,
8	which is the Docket, the And
9	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
10	Honor, the case was filed January $28^{\text{th}}$ of the year
11	2000.
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: January
13	28 <sup>th</sup> , year
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: What is
15	it? Well, the record
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:2000.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:will
18	show when it was
19	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes.
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But does
21	anybody know when it was?
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: It is
23	January 28 <sup>th</sup> , 2000.
24	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: January 28 <sup>th</sup> ,
25	2000.

	103
1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay. So
2	we have it clear. 2000, January $28^{\rm th}$ . Alright.
3	Go ahead.
4	BY MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
5	Q And at the time of the filing for
6	bankruptcy in 2000, did you have this sort of
7	situations with your husband?
8	A No.
9	Q And he never expressed that he did not
10	know what he was going to do with the debts, and
11	he never got up in the middle of the night worried
12	about the situations with the debts?
13	A Yes, but it was after GE Capital
14	denied him the permits. We were like between the
15	wall and the sword. GE put us in a position that
16	we were between the wall and sword.
17	Q And you, his wife of thirty years, say
18	that during 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, he had no
19	worries regarding economic situations or he never
20	got up at night worried and you found him crying,
21	none of that occurred?
22	A Of that nature as such, no.
23	L: No further questions, Your
24	Honor.
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay. I

	104
1	have no questions for the Witness. Doña
2	Hernández Doña Sonia
3	WITNESS: Aha.
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:puede
5	retirarse. Gracias. Cuiadado aquí bajandose por
6	la escalera.
7	You have one witness, right?
8	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
9	Honor, we have Psychiatrist Dr. Carmen Sanz.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Go ahead.
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
12	can we stipulate her curriculum vitae to save time
13	or do we need to question her on it?
14	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
15	the situation here is that we have not had an
16	opportunity to depose this and really know who
17	she is. We have read the curriculum vitae, but it
18	is the first time that we are going to be able
19	to
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
21	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
22	that
23	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Show
24	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA:
25	Moreover

	105
1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You
2	don't want to stipulate anything, we'll have to go
3	into some it.
4	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: That
5	curriculum vitae was provided in the July 2005
6	pre-trial Report
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
8	Counsel
9	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:as the
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
11	opposing counsel said that she doesn't want to
12	stipulate, so let's
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: May I
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Now,
15	before we start with this witness Yes?
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: May I
17	address the Court?
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.
19	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Maybe this
20	can probably expedite it. Your Honor, we reaffirm
21	our allegation as our request to strike any
22	evidence as to the mental and emotional damages
23	that was not alleged in the Complaint.
24	This Court stated that it would allow
25	the witnesses to testify as to that and that it

would make a determination as to that the witnesses have testified already.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This is an expert witness, which does not have personal knowledge of what has occurred.

And the situation, Your Honor, is that we do not have...

The situation is, Your Honor, that we specifically object to the evidence on emotional damages.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We understand that.

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your

Honor, may I address the Court?

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Wilfredo

Segarra, for GE Capital. It is just that after hearing the evidence presented by Plaintiffs, Your Honor, and the specific acts which they are now at trial presenting before this Court, acts which allegedly are of such monumental characteristics. We request the Court to reconsider at this time our Motion to Strike and Eliminate, to strike all evidence presented at this trial as to emotional damages, Your Honor.

And the basis for our reconsideration

	107
1	is the following. At the time when the Complaint
2	was prepared, Your Honor,
3	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
4	isn't that a closing argument?
5	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: If No,
6	this
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: No, it is
8	a Motion to Strike again
9	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: To strike,
10	Your Honor.
11	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:which
12	we have already decided, but you
13	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: In
14	reconsideration and in the light I believe that
15	Your Honor said that it would allow the evidence
16	and then make a determination whether to allow or
17	not to allow.
18	Now, the basis of our motion, Your
19	Honor, is that the facts presented for this case
20	in request as the basis for the request to amend
21	are facts or such monumental import, Your Honor
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel,
23	I think this motion should be made after we finish
24	with Plaintiff's case, full case.
25	I'll hear this motion after we finish

	108
1	with the case, okay?
2	I want to hear the evidence of this
3	last witness, then we'll have closing arguments
4	and I'll make a decision, okay?
5	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Very well,
6	Your Honor.
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I think
8	it is premature. We have one more witness. We
9	want to get through this one more witness.
10	I am going to need a couple of minutes
11	here to call my chambers and I'll be right back.
12	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
13	Honor.
14	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: We'll
15	take a short three-minute break, okay.
16	(Off the record)
17	(On the record)
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Mr.
19	Obregón, you have your witness ready?
20	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes. Dr.
21	Carmen Sanz
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Carmen
23	Sanz, please step forward and take the stand.
24	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: ¿Cómo está su
25	inglés, doctora?

	110
1	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes.
2	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: What was
3	your purpose for these?
4	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: That was
5	to read that was
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: A list
7	of vehicles and Schedule "B".
8	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes. That
9	was to rebut the fact that he said
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I know,
11	but do you want them marked as exhibits?
12	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Yes, sir.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Alright.
14	We'll let's mark them as exhibits for Defendants.
15	Ah?
16	Exhibit "A" and "B" or "A"? "A" and
17	"B", alright.
18	(Whereupon the above-referenced
19	documents were marked as Exhibits A and B for
20	Defendants.)
21	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Ready, Your
22	Honor.
23	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You are
24	ready. Witness, please state your name for the
25	record. Full name.

1 2 3	WITNESS: Dr. Carmen Sanz-
3	Lebrón.
	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Mr.
4	Pérez-Oregón, you may commence.
5	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
6	Honor.
7	Whereupon,
8	DR. CARMEN SANZ-LEBRÓN
9	was called as a witness and having been first been
10	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
13	Q Doctor, please state your address,
14	your business address. Please state your business
15	address.
16	A Apartment 2-B, Las Torre Sur
17	Condominium, Bayamón, Puerto Rico.
18	Q And you have a degree in what medical
19	specialty?
20	A Psychiatry.
21	Q Doctor, where did you study
22	Psychiatry?
23	A In Puerto Rico, at the Psychiatry
24	Institute in Puerto Rico.
25	Q When did you graduate from there?

		112
1	А	In 1987.
2	Q	Before that, did you study Medicine?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Where did you study Medicine?
5	А	At the Cayey Medical School.
6	Q	Thank you. When did you graduate from
7	there?	
8	А	1982.
9	Q	Okay. And how long have you had your
10	private pract	ice?
11	А	As a Psychiatrist I have had it for
12	nineteen year	S.
13	Q	Okay. Do you know Mr. Wilfredo De
14	Jesús and Mrs	. Sonia Hernández-Meléndez?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	How do you know them?
17	А	Because they came to my office looking
18	for emotional	help.
19	Q	When did they come to your office for
20	the first tim	ne?
21	А	In
22		MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Objection,
23	Your Honor.	
24		HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
25		MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: The same

2.2

2.3

one. We are objecting all evidence as to emotional damages because they are not alleged in the Complaint and that places General Electric in a state in which it can not properly defend upon the merits any allegations because it was not put in the Complaint, Your Honor. And she just stated that Plaintiffs went to her office to get emotional help.

HONORABLE JUDGE

CARLO: The objection has been stated before this Court has said that we are going to allow the evidence to be introduced.

And we will determine whether emotional damages are going to be allowed, whether they can be allowed legally in this circuit. And if... the first issue would be whether emotional damages are allowed in violation of stay.

And the second issue, well, this Court will have to determine as whether we can allow them when they haven't been specifically pleaded in the Complaint.

But in the meantime we are going to allow the testimony. The Witness may answer this line of questioning.

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Thank you, Your Honor.

	114
1	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
2	Q I repeat the question. When was the
3	first time that Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús-Rivera and
4	Mrs. Sonia Hernández-Meléndez came to visit your
5	office?
6	A October of 2002.
7	Q For what purpose?
8	A To receive psychiatric treatment.
9	Q For problem in specific, please?
10	A Mr. Wilfredo complained about the fact
11	that he was depressed and anxious.
12	Q And how about Mrs. Sonia Hernández?
13	A Her symptoms were compatible with
14	depression.
15	Q During the course of your treatment,
16	did they get better?
17	A Yes.
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: What date
19	did she say the first meeting was in? Did she say
20	the date?
21	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
22	Honor, the
23	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
24	Q Could you repeat the date, please?
25	A October 2002.

1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Thank
2	you.
3	BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:
4	Q Could you explain to the Court what
5	type of personality Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús has?
6	A During the course of his visits to my
7	office, as I evaluated his symptoms, I also have
8	to evaluate his personality.
9	He is very straight, he is very kind
10	minded, he is obsessive, but not to the point of
11	it being a pathology.
12	Q Thank you. And can you please explain
13	Mrs. Sonia Hernández' personality for the Court?
14	A Yes. She is a submissive humble woman
15	and she has being manifesting symptoms of
16	depression from a long way back.
17	Q What personality changes did you
18	observe in both of them from 2000 from January
19	2004 onwards?
20	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Objection,
21	Your Honor.
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
23	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Lack of
24	foundation. The testimony now
25	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Granted.

Granted, Counsel, there is no foundation for any personality changes. The question is... it is improper.

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your Honor, we also have an objection, if the Counsel is going to go into treatment, the medical record has not been presented as... to be the best evidence of the treatment, the dates of the treatments and findings. We will have a standing objection right now as to any testimony as the treatment of the Plaintiffs because the medical record has not been offered to...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It think it is premature. Let's just wait to see if the... what the Witness is going to be used for. Go ahead.

BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Q Witness, you said that their medical condition had improved during the course of your treatment?

A Yes.

Q How is their medical condition at this moment?

How is their personality, their medical condition at this moment?

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Objection 1 2 on lack of foundation. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Granted, 3 Counsel. Can you lay foundations for these 4 changes, lack of personality? We don't know 5 6 what... What the Witness has really just said, 7 describe the type of personality, and now you are 8 jumping to... to personality changes, and you are 9 way ahead of it. MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: 10 Okay, Your 11 Honor. BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: 12 When Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús first came 13 Q to see you, what were his conditions? 14 When he came, his symptoms were Α 15 compatible with depression, with sadness, he had 16 17 no desire to do anything, poor concentration, 18 insomnia. At that moment Mrs. Sonia had suffered 19 20 cerebral-vascular accidents. She had had some 21 strokes. And Mr. Wilfredo was very concerned 2.2 about this, on top of the concerns that he had because of work. 2.3 2.4 How many times a week, or how many 25 times a month did they come to see you?

1	А	Originally they were coming on a bi-
2	weekly basis.	When they improved, then they
3	started comin	g once a month.
4	Q	So are you still seeing them once a
5	month?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	What medication, if any, did you
8	prescribe?	
9	А	To Mr. Wilfredo?
10	Q	Yes.
11	А	Originally what I prescribed for Mr.
12	Wilfredo was	Zoloft, 25 milligrams to go up to 50
13	milligrams.	Also Transen for anxiety, we started
14	with 2.75 mil	ligrams on a daily basis. And then
15	Sonata at 10	milligrams at night, to sort of help
16	him fall asle	ep.
17	Q	Were you going to add anything to
18	that?	
19	А	No.
20	Q	Are you still prescribing the same
21	amount of med	licine to Mr. Wilfredo De Jesús?
22	А	No.
23	Q	Why not?
24	А	No, because from March 2004 onwards he
25	started to pr	esent other symptoms.

	119
1	Q What symptoms?
2	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Objection,
3	Your Honor.
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Grounds?
5	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your Honor,
6	the fact that the medical record has not been
7	presented in evidence, which would be the best
8	evidence as to what symptoms and treatment the
9	Plaintiffs received.
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Counsel?
11	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your
12	Honor
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Best
14	evidence?
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: The best
16	evidence is the Witness, Your Honor.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay, so
18	you are saying that the medical record is not
19	necessary?
20	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
21	Honor.
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Alright.
23	We believe that this evidence should be allowed.
24	We are not dealing here with a content of
25	document, the records were not requested as such,

	-
1	were they in evidence, Counsel? Or did you
2	request
3	Did you fail to receive records in
4	evidence? Is that what you are saying?
5	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: What we
6	requested any evidence that would be presented at
7	trial and a brief summary of the testimony which
8	was going to be presented.
9	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
10	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: And, of
11	course, this testimony or even a remote short
12	narrative was not provided.
13	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
14	Counsel?
15	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your
16	Honor,
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Did the
18	pre-trial
19	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes
20	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
21	include the testimony of this witness?
22	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Page four,
23	the pre-trial has Mrs. Carmen Sanz, and page six
24	has a curriculum vitae which was sent to Counsel.
25	In addition, Your Honor, I call the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

attention of... The motion submitted on June 7<sup>th</sup> by opposing counsel, where opposing counsel attached to that motion an interrogatory, request for production of documents and Plaintiff's answers to the Interrogatories.

Our answers to interrogatories, to the interrogatories on page two, sub section "B", shows that...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Speak into the microphone.

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes. Shows that Mrs. Carmen Sanz was to testify at the trial and the content of that testimony.

Your Honor, no further inquiry was made in relation to Mrs. Carmen Sanz, Dr. Sanz, I am sorry.

Opposing party at all times had knowledge and notice that she was going to be present today.

No deposition was requested, no interrogatories were requested in relation to her, Your Honor.

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay. It appears that between the pre-trial, the answers of the interrogatories...

By the way, the answers of the interrogatories specifically state that this psychiatrist will narrate Plaintiff's emotional condition. That is pretty specific. Resulting from GE Capital's refusal to surrender title.

I don't have any motion on the part of Defendants to produce anything regarding that. I don't have any motions, except a motion to strike that was filed last night.

I don't have anything to order the other side to produce any more information. There is no motion for sanctions against... regarding discovery before this Court, so basically I...

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Your

Honor...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Yes.

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Let me

just state that...

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: I don't think there is really any ground to deny that the evidence being presented by testimony.

MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Let me just bring to the Court's attention the Plaintiffs answers to number four where we requested the narrative, the specific testimony to be given, and

I

25

1	what Plaintiffs provided, submitted prior to
2	trial.
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: But what
4	I am mentioning is the one before that says that
5	the Psychiatrist would testify as
6	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Which
7	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:to
8	emotional damages.
9	MR. SEGARRA-MIRANDA: Which is
10	very general, which simply states Plaintiff's
11	emotional condition resulting from GE Capital's
12	refusal to surrender
13	Your Honor, we come again to the issue
14	of fair play and adequate notice, Your Honor,
15	which was not given in this case, in the
16	Complaint, nor afterwards.
17	At this time, now Plaintiff wants to
18	present the testimony as to treatment, as to
19	specif issues concerning the times, dates, when
20	the Psychiatrist allegedly saw the Plaintiffs,
21	Your Honor.
22	And at this time Plaintiffs do not
23	even present the best evidence as to that, which
24	is the medical records.

If Plaintiffs were to honor the basic

2.2

2.3

2.4

notions of fair play and of adequate notice, one, they would have alleged in the Complaint because they... the alleged acts and damages were known to Plaintiffs at the time of drafting the Complaint. They were not included in the Complaint, Your Honor.

Secondly, no amendment was made to the Complaint, not even at the pre-trial. At the pre-trial is the time when Plaintiff should give notice to Defendant...

### HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:

Counsel, let's not argue through any of this. The best evidence is not... I don't think is applicable to the situation because we have a Psychiatrist, an expert, on the stand.

And you are objecting on the basis that first of all you didn't have enough notice that she was going to be put on the stand.

And I notice that there has been notice given that she was on the stand to talk about emotional damages.

And also you are basing it on best evidence, and I don't think best evidence applies to this situation, and we are not dealing with the contents of the documents that are being submitted in evidence.

This is proper as far as we are concerned, this is proper evidence of emotional damages, and the Witness may answer. The objection is denied. Go ahead.

MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Thank you,

Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:

BY MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:

Q I am sorry, what was the last question? I believe it was "why did you increase their medication or why did you change their medication?"

HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well, she was talking about, in 2004, that the Witness, Mr. Wilfredo, presented symptoms, new symptoms, when the objection came in, and didn't let her finish her answer, but she was talking about the new symptoms they were presenting in 2004.

Q Could you please explain to the Court the new symptoms that were presented in... after March of 2004?

A Yes. Mr. Wilfredo began to show symptoms of restlessness and general males, he started to complain about chest and head pains, he had many worries, and he was starting to suffer

1	from insomnia. He still had the depressive
2	symptoms, but he was exhibiting them to another
3	degree.
4	Q Did you wish to add anything else to
5	that?
6	A Yes. At that moment?
7	Q Yes.
8	A I increased the Zoloft to 100
9	milligrams, and the Transen from 2.75 to 7.50.
10	Q Thank you. And during the course of
11	your treatment of Mrs. Sonia Hernández-Meléndez,
12	was her medication always the same or was there
13	any variation to that medication?
14	A It was basically the same.
15	Q Thank you.
16	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your Honor,
17	no further questions with this witness.
18	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
19	Cross examination?
20	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: No
21	questions, Your Honor.
22	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Very
23	well. I take it that this witness, that there
24	has been no objection to this witness being
25	admitted, that the curriculum vitae that you spoke

1	about initially, was that settled?
2	Did the parties agree on something?
3	Because I haven't heard from you regarding whether
4	this witness do you intend a voir dire this
5	witness in any sort?
6	Are you accepting the fact that she is
7	an expert?
8	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
9	we can accept what she had stated in the
10	curriculum vitae, and we heard her testimony, and
11	she basically stated as to the treatment and
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Do you
13	have any objection that she be treated as an
14	expert?
15	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: No, Your
16	Honor.
17	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
18	No. Okay. So we are going not to have to go
19	
19	through that. This witness is excused. Thank
20	through that. This witness is excused. Thank you, Dr
20	you, Dr
20 21	you, Dr WITNESS: Thank you.
20 21 22	you, Dr  WITNESS: Thank you.  HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:Sanz.

1	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
2	Honor.
3	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: The
4	Translator. Thank you so much.
5	COURT INTERPRETER: Thank you.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: You can
7	also step off the stand. We have some documents
8	that are on that bench. Marshall Lissette.
9	Are those exhibits?
10	Alright. Who do those belong to?
11	Don't leave anything around here and get lost.
12	Alright. At this time We'll wait
13	for the Witness to step off the stand.
14	What I intend to do is to give you
15	give you a few minutes as a closing statement,
16	okay?
17	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes, Your
18	Honor.
19	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: And then
20	I am going to allow Thank you. I am going to
21	allow you a period of time to file a memorandum of
22	law and facts, which I think probably
23	I know you are want a record. At
24	least, I am going to want a record of the trial,
25	of the evidence. How long do you think it would

	129
1	take us to get a record prepared?
2	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: A
3	transcript, Judge?
4	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: A
5	transcript, yes.
6	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: Okay.
7	Next week. Monday, Tuesday.
8	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Monday or
9	Tuesday?
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: Monday
11	or Tuesday.
12	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,
13	we'll give the parties twenty days, is that okay?
14	Twenty working days and we'll schedule if this
15	is done by let's stay by Wednesday of next
16	week, it'll be the fourteenth.
17	Let's say the fifteenth the record
18	will be ready, if we take twenty days. Fifteenth
19	of July is twenty days to submit the memorandum of
20	law and facts, okay? On each side.
21	No reply unless you get leave of Court
22	to reply. Just straight memorandums. And
23	But at this time I would like to hear
24	a closing closing arguments on both sides.
25	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: This

	130
1	would be simultaneous
2	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Yes
3	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE:
4	Simultaneously
5	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
6	Simultaneously, yes.
7	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE:
8	Okay. HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:
9	Like fifteen fifteen, July.
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: Okay.
11	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Alright.
12	Yes, Counsel.
13	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: May I, Your
14	Honor?
15	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Sure.
16	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: Your Honor,
17	this counsel will be out of Puerto Rico from July
18	first until July twenty-eighth. And it has been
19	scheduled a family gathering for months now, and
20	it is not possible for me to cancel it.
21	If this honor I will plead with the
22	Court that some time in early August we will try
23	and submit it.
24	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: It's
25	Counsel?

24

25

of Debtor's insurance check has caused damage to

Plaintiffs and constitutes willful violation of

2.2

2.3

the automatic stay of 11 USC, Section 362 (a), and of the Discharge Injunction of 11 USC, Section 524".

That is going of to encompass any sort of damage, Your Honor.

In addition, Rule 15 of the Rules of Civil Procedures as applied to bankruptcy rules of procedure states that amendments to conform to the evidence may be raised at the hearing".

That is just... And it doesn't even conform... There hasn't even been any amendments because we have been alleging the same things since day one, Your Honor.

As to the evidence of the Psychiatrist, that was notified to counsels in the pre-trial report that was notified to counsels in the answer to interrogatories submitted by them.

As to the narrative of the witness' testimony, Your Honor, we admit that they asked... they requested that in the Interrogatories, Your Honor, and that that was not submitted. However, as I said, we forgot. And they forgot too, because they never notified us.

They never submitted a motion under Rule 37. And they waited until two days before

1	the hearing to bring that up, when they knew of
2	this hearing back in February of 2006, Your Honor.
3	That constitutes latches, Your Honor,
4	they should have requested this beforehand and not
5	two days before the hearing.
6	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Well,
7	this Court allows motions and eliminates, doesn't
8	it?
9	Motions to eliminate are usually filed
10	very late in time. Why is this one
11	Did we have a pre-trial order on this
12	case, that states
13	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: Your
14	Honor
15	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO:a
16	date for motions unlimited?
17	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN:a pre-
18	trial conference never took place.
19	We came here twice, and on both
20	occasions the Defendants requested the pre-trial
21	conference be changed to a status conference
22	because it was not prepared for the pre-trial
23	hearing.
24	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: That is not
25	correct, Your Honor.

	134
1	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Did we
2	have a pre-trial order?
3	Did we issue a pre
4	Can I see a copy of the pre-trial
5	order?
6	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE VOICE: I'll
7	verify that, Judge.
8	MS. LANDRAU-RIVERA: If I may,
9	Your Honor?
10	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Now,
11	let's wait. One at a time.
12	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: In fact, Your
13	Honor, Defendants never even submitted a pre-trial
14	report.
15	Two days two years after the case
16	was filed, after this complaint was filed, we
17	still don't have a pre-trial report from the
18	Defendants.
19	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Anything
20	else?
21	MR. PÉREZ-OBREGÓN: No, Your
22	Honor.
23	HONORABLE JUDGE CARLO: Okay.
24	Counsel?
25	(3:17 P.M.)

135

(Whereupon, the hearing in the above
2 entitled matter was terminated.)
3

# JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS

1

2

### CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

3

4

5

I, **JAMES ROHAN**, Certified Professional Stenographer;

6

DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

7

8

9

10

11

That the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of the aforesaid testimony which was taken down by electronic recording and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction.

1213

14

15

16

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not attorney for nor counsel to either or any of the parties in interest nor in any way interested in the outcome of said action.

17

18

19

WITNESS MY HAND this  $19^{\text{th}}$  day of June 2006 in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

20

21

2.2

2.3

27.971.00

JAMES ROHAN REPORTERS